## **Evaluation Form**

Code	RBFR08721S	
Principal Investigator	TRUNFIO Paolo	
University	Università della CALABRIA	
Project Title	Semantic service discovery, selection and composition in the Internet of Services	

Evaluation criteria	Score	Judgment
Scientific relevance (Score: 0 to 8) The reviewer is expected to rate the relevance of the research in the related scientific setting and innovation. (up to 500 characters)	6/8	The proposed research is relevant and potentially innovative over the state of the art, even if one of the main problems involved in peer-to-peer solution (namely security) is overlooked and not addressed.
Impact (Score: 0 to 7) How the research will advance the scientific knowledge, and which is the effect of the research on the concepts and methods that drive the field. (up to 500 characters)	5/7	The impact of the proposed research can be fairly significant from the scientific point of view. The practical impact on the state-of-the-art in P2P systems should however require proper consideration for the security aspects that are completely missing in this proposal.
<ul> <li>Scientific and technical value (Score: 0 to 15)</li> <li>The reviewer should evaluate: <ul> <li>The degree of novelty of the results with respect to the state of the art of the field on a scale ranging from modest improvements of known results to real breakthrough capable of opening new research tracks,</li> <li>Clarity and verifiability of the objectives,</li> <li>The validity of the conceptual framework ,the design, and the methods.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	13/15	The project activity is well planned. Objectives are clearly stated and their achievement can be easily monitored and assessed. The proposed research appears to be adequate and relatively innovative compared to analogous research efforts going on at the international level, even if it is unlikely to produce any real breakthrough. The usefulness and applicability of the results is partly affected by the lack of proper attention devoted to security aspects.
Quality of the partneship (Score: 0 to 10) Scientific qualification of principal investigators with respect to the subject of the project (up to 300 characters)	9/10	The scientific qualification of the principal investigators is adequate, even though one of the principal investigators is also going to play the role of the "young researcher". Overall the consortium is well qualified for the proposed activity.

## Final score 33

**General Comment** This is a reasonable research plan addressing some of the currently open problems in the considered field. The main weaknesses are: 1) some of the most relevant and difficult research problems in the field are not even mentioned in the proposal; 2) the cost of the proposed activity is fairly high compared to the impact and importance of the proposed research goals.