



Futuro in Ricerca

- [home](#)
- valutazione

Valutazione Referee

Evaluation Criteria	Score & Judgment
<p>Scientific relevance (Score: 0 to 8)</p> <p><i>The reviewer is expected to rate the relevance of the research in the related scientific setting and innovation.</i></p>	<p>Score 8/8</p> <p>The topic to be investigated is one of the key objectives of worldwide scientific investigation at the current moment.</p>
<p>Impact (Score: 0 to 7)</p> <p><i>How the research will advance the scientific knowledge, and which is the effect of the research on the concepts and methods that drive the field.</i></p>	<p>Score 7/7</p> <p>The research may have a strong impact on the scientific knowledge.</p>
<p>Scientific and technical value (Score: 0 to 15)</p> <p><i>The reviewer should evaluate:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The degree of novelty of the results with respect to the state of the art of the field on a scale ranging from modest improvements of known results to real breakthrough capable of opening new research tracks</i> • <i>Clarity and verifiability of the objectives</i> • <i>The validity of the conceptual framework ,the design, and the methods.</i> 	<p>Score 14/15</p> <p>The proposed research is novel and sound. The objectives are verifiable The framework is solid and well organized.</p>
<p>Quality of the partnership (Score: 0 to 10)</p> <p><i>Scientific qualification of principal investigators with respect to the subject of the project</i></p>	<p>Score 10/10</p> <p>The quality of the partnership is outstanding.</p>

FINALE SCORE: 39

GENERAL COMMENT:

A more focused selection of objectives may improve the quality of the project, the quality of which, anyway, is excellent, given also the strong experience of the team.

Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca - In collaborazione con [CINECA](#)